US Timberland Investment Forecast Variables; and their impact on Net Present Value (discount rates)

2012 Timberland Investment World Summit, IQPC, New York, NY

Teddy Reynolds, RF, CG, ACF, President, Reynolds Forestry Consulting & Real Estate, PLLC 870-234-0200 ext 6; teddy@reyoldsforestry.com www.reynoldsforestry.com

Introduction:

As a forester, appraiser and timberland investment manager providing services to over one million timberland acres in the southeastern United States (Texas to the Carolinas); my primary skill set is to identify, process and manage variables influencing timberland investment return rates.

There are two categories of variables, internal and external:

<u>Internal Variables</u> involve silvicultural practices of forestry influencing supply; silviculture is to forestry as agronomy is to row-crop; and includes soil type, genetic selection, tillage, competition control, fertilization, growth/yield models, cash-flow, access and others.

<u>External Variables</u> involve timber marketing and demand factors; and includes new housing starts, vacant housing supply, imports, exports, debt, deficit, GDP, tariffs, mill capacity, timber prices, land values, discount rates, net present values and others.

This presentation covers primary external variables influencing timberland investments returns and discounts on intensively managed pine plantations in the southeastern United States of America.

1) Primary domestic variables influencing US timberland investment returns:

New housing starts, vacant house supply and renovations.

When US new housing starts (single and multifamily) are a sustainable 1.5 million units per year, sawlog prices are approximately *\$40 per ton*. Due to the current surplus of vacant homes on the market, new housing starts are approximately 600,000 annually and timber prices subsequently dropped to *\$25* per ton.

Due to renovations, the bottom of the pine sawlog market it approximately \$20/ton. As a rule of thumb, every 100,000 new housing starts up or down influences the price of stumpage sawlogs by approximately \$2 per ton, with a 6 month to 1 year lag time.

In a healthy US market, the supply of vacant homes are typically below 1 million. Due to overbuilding in the years preceding 2007 there are approximately 3.5 million vacant homes on the market, which caused the drop in new housing starts to their current low.

Over the next five years, if vacant home sales average 600,000 per year, the number of vacant homes will drop below the one million mark; at which time new housing starts should simultaneously reach 1.5 million.

2) Primary international variables influencing US Timberland investment returns:

Canadian Lumber imports into the US, Asian exports and Mountain Pine Beetle.

When annual US new housing starts are above 1 million, the US domestic demand is increasingly dependent on Canada for one-third of its lumber supply. Historically the US has been Canada's largest lumber customer consuming over 90% of its supply. Since new home building in the US collapsed in 2007, Canada began developing its Asian markets, primarily China. Since 2007, Canadian exports to China have increased from 1% to 15%.

The growing Asian export levels are primarily long term in nature due to capital investments in transportation infrastructure, shipping, and export agreements. Canada will not turn away from this important new destination once US housing starts recover in the US; as Canada has learned an important lesson of nurturing a diversified customer base from the US housing collapse.

When the US housing market returns in 5 years over 60 percent of British Columbia's Lodgepole pine trees will have been consumed by the mountain pine beetle infestation and consequently will have been prematurely harvested or decayed reducing Canada's future timber supply for decades.

And when new housing starts in the US rise back to normal sustained levels, this will result in the inability of the US's historical reliance on Canada for one-third of its lumber needs.

3) Five year forecast for US timberland investment returns:

Over the next 5 years their combined influence (vacancies, new starts, mountain pine beetle, Asian exports) will create greater reliance on US lumber production; primarily in the US Southern 13 pine belt states (southeastern states from Texas to the Carolinas), as the US Pacific NW will also be responding to Asian markets and continued regulation. The US will also look to South America and Europe for alternative lumber sources.

Consequently, real rates of return, after costs and before taxes, for intensively managed pine plantations will remain at 8-9% in the short term, and rise back above 10% within five years.

Bareland values (land underneath the trees) are not blended in with the above plantation return as they have independent return rates and risk levels with an abundance of comparable sales. Currently bareland is invested between US\$600 - \$800/acre. For the past 30 years bareland has provided a steady annualized compounding return of 4-5% independent of timber. Values above US\$800/acre for bareland are typically in transition to higher and better uses influenced by urban sprawl.

In short, when you currently acquire timberland you have two investments, the pine plantation yielding 8-9% (low risk) and the bareland yielding 4-5% (basically no risk).

<u>Pre-2007</u> return rates were 11-12% after cost and before taxes for intensively managed pine plantations (pine pulpwood at \$10/ton; chip-in-saw at \$30/ton; sawtimber at \$50/ton), and bareland returns at 4-5%. <u>Post-2007</u> return rates dropped to 8-9% (pine pulpwood at \$10/ton; chip-n-saw at \$15/ton; sawtimber at \$25/ton), and bareland returns 4-5%; <u>Post-recovery 2016</u> return rates projected at 10-11% (pine pulpwood at \$10/ton; chip-n-saw at \$25/ton; pine sawtimber at \$40/ton); *refer to attached "Pre-2007 Timber Prices & Returns" and "Post-2007 Timber Prices & Returns" and "Post-Recovery-2016 Timber Prices & Returns"*.

Pre and Post 2007 average real discount rates for landowners investing in pine plantations at various ages are listed below. Discount rates within the same time era adjust to plantation age which corresponds to investment term. The discount rates from <u>pre-2007</u> to current dropped due to an increase in competing investors responding to return margins. Keep in mind discount rates take into account the product price fluctuations and reflect the real changes in return between <u>Pre-2007</u> and <u>Post-2007</u>.

Age (years)	Pre-2007	Post-2007
1 – 5	12%	10%
6 – 10	10%	9%
11 - 15	9%	8%
16 - 20	8%	7%
21 - 25	7%	6%
26 - 30	6%	5%

As the slide began in 2007 several institutional investors dropped their average discount rates to 4% for last minute investment to avoid the ensuing drop in equities and fixed market.

Keep in mind, when landowners establish pine plantations yielding 10% return and trade prior to maturity to investors who are discounting at 6% then the seller's return jumps from 10% to 14%.

4) Primary assumptions in forecast:

The primary assumptions are: 1) Russia maintains similar tariffs on its timber exports; 2) British Columbia decreases its annual allowable cuts in response to timber losses from the mountain pine beetle infestation; 3) the US gains control of its \$1.3 trillion annual deficit spending and begins reducing its total debt of \$15 trillion; 4) unemployment rebounds encouraging more homeowners to continue paying their mortgages.

Notation:

Refer to attached supportive analysis:

- 1) <u>Pre</u>-2007 Financial Timber Prices & Returns
- 2) Post-2007 Financial Timber Prices & Returns
- 3) <u>Post-Recovery-2016</u> Timber Prices & Returns
- 4) US Historical New Housing Start Cycles

If you have any questions or would like to discuss any of the aforementioned variables or supporting analysis, please feel free to email <u>teddy@reyoldsforestry.com</u> or call 870-234-0200 ext 6.

US Historical New Housing Start Cycles Above and Below 1.5 Million single and multi-family combined

2012 World Timberland Investment Summit, New York, NY

Teddy Reynolds, RF, CG, ACF Reynolds Forestry Consulting & Real Estate, PLLC 870-234-0200 ext 6; teddy@reynoldsforestry.com www.reynoldsforestry.com

Points based on 1.5 million annual new housing starts being sustainable:

1) The housing surplus of 2,341,000 created between 1998 - 2007 has already been offset by underbuilding between 2008 2011 of 3,518,000 units (refer below); historical data supports resurgence following similar underbuilding. Since 1959 underbuilding below 1.5 million was never more than -145% of the preceeding surplus overbuilding above 1.5 million; and currently underbuilding below 1.5 million is -150% (historical data and derivations included below). 2) A healthy market has less than 1 million vacant homes. Current vacant homes are 3.5 million and more forcasted to join the ranks. The previous overbuidling surplus is no longer the contributing factor to rising vacant homes and new contributing factors are emerging.

3) Emerging contributing factors are: a) number of graduating students returning home (risen to 85%); b) emerging minority culture with multiple generations living together; c) bank's past participation in risky home loans because at that time they could sale to eager secondary market floated by quasi government agencies; d) homeowner realization that in a geniune market, homes are long term with low returns; e) growing national debt due to import/export deficit; f) rising cost of energy; and perhaps most importantly g) an increasing unemployemet close to 11% including those not filing.

1 1959 1,535,000 not applicable as dependent on pre-1959 data 2 1961 1,312,000 40,000 40,000 40,000 2 1965 1,455,000 1,500,000 40,000 (31,000) 2 1965 1,167,000 1,500,000 (33,000) (33,000) 3 1967 1,285,000 1,500,000 (33,000) (34,000) 1 1968 1,487,000 1,500,000 (15,00,000 (15,00,00) 1 1970 1,435,000 1,500,000 (15,00,00) (16,80,00) 1 1977 2,936,000 1,500,000 536,000 1,500,000 (168,000) 2 1977 1,942,000 1,500,000 261,000 1,500,000 265,6 3 1978 2,001,000 1,500,000 265,000 1,600,000 265,6 3 1978 1,717,000 1,500,000 265,000 265,6 366,000 265,6 3 1982 1,050,000 1,500,000 265	Cycles (above & below 1.5 million)	Year	Units (single & multifamily)	Sustainable	Surplus	Sustainable	Offset Underbuilding	10 Year Unit Average Per Year
1 1960 1.256,000 not applicable as dependent on pre-1959 data 1 1963 1,580,000 48,000 1,500,000 40,000 1 1965 1,677,000 1500,000 (31,000) (33,000) 1 1965 1,677,000 1,500,000 (33,000) (33,000) 1 1966 1,167,000 1,500,000 (33,000) (215,000) 1 1968 1,500,000 4,000 1,500,000 (648,000) 1 1971 2,361,000 1,500,000 661,000 -19.5 1 1974 1,332,000 1,500,000 44,000 -19.5 1 1974 1,332,000 1,500,000 260,000 -26% 1 1976 1,635,000 1,500,000 260,000 -26% 1 1978 1,075,000 1,500,000 265,000 -26% 1 1980 1,300,000 1,500,000 265,000 -26% 1 1988 1,760,000 1,500,000	1	1959	1,535,000					
2 1961 1.312,000 1.500,000 48,000 1 1962 1.589,000 1.500,000 40,000 1 1965 1.469,000 1.500,000 40,000 1 1965 1.469,000 1.500,000 (31,000) 2 1966 1.167,000 1.500,000 (31,000) 3 1967 1.285,000 1.500,000 (34,000) 1 1968 1.847,000 1.500,000 (54,000) 2 1970 1.435,000 1.500,000 (54,000) 1 1972 2.361,000 1.500,000 (66,000) 1 1974 1.332,000 1.500,000 (668,000) 1 1975 1.60,000 1.500,000 (668,000) 2 1975 1.60,000 1.500,000 (20,000) (668,000) 1 1976 1.360,000 1.500,000 (20,000) (464,000) 1 1980,000 1.500,000 1.500,000 (20,000) (444,000) <	1	1960	1,256,000		not opplicable	as dependent on	pro 1050 data	
3 1962 1.459.000 1.500.000 40.000 1 1963 1.580.000 1.500.000 40.000 1 1965 1.167.000 1.500.000 (31,000) 2 1966 1.167.000 1.500.000 (33,000) 3 1967 1.285.000 1.500.000 (33,000) 2 1970 1.487.000 1.500.000 (65,000) (1,419.750) 2 1972 2.361.000 1.500.000 1.500.000 (66,000) 1.419.750 1 1974 1.332.000 1.500.000 1.500.000 (66,000) 2 1977 1.662.000 1.500.000 (66,000) -19.5 1 1974 1.332.000 1.500.000 26.000 -28% 3 1977 1.662.000 1.500.000 28.000 -28% 1 1980 1.300.000 1.500.000 28.000 -28% 1 1980 1.500.000 28.000 1.500.000 (1443.000)	2	1961	1,312,000		not applicable	as dependent on	pre-1959 data	
1 1963 1,580,000 1,500,000 40,000 2 1964 1,540,000 1,500,000 (31,000) 2 1965 1,469,000 1,500,000 (33,000) 3 1967 1,285,000 1,500,000 (33,000) 1 1968 1,504,000 1,500,000 (54,000) 2 1970 1,435,000 1,500,000 (65,000) 1,419,750 1 1971 2,036,000 1,500,000 536,000 (78,000) 2 1977 1,462,000 1,500,000 544,000 (78,000) 1 1974 1,335,000 1,500,000 544,000 (404,000) 2 1977 1,462,000 1,500,000 2650,000 -26% 3 1978 2,001,000 1,500,000 260,000 -26% 3 1978 1,717,000 1,500,000 260,000 -26% 1 1980 1,300,000 1,500,000 260,000 -26% 3 <t< td=""><td>3</td><td>1962</td><td>1,459,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	3	1962	1,459,000					
2 1964 1,540,000 40,000 1,500,000 (31,000) 1 1965 1,469,000 1,500,000 (33,000) (33,000) 3 1967 1,285,000 1,500,000 (33,000) (31,000) 1 1968 1,487,000 1,500,000 (40,000) (548,000) 2 1970 1,435,000 1,500,000 (56,000) (78,000) 1 1974 2,386,000 1,500,000 (56,000) (78,000) 2 1977 1,962,000 1,500,000 35,000 (78,000) 1 1976 1,535,000 1,500,000 462,000 (560,000) 2 1977 1,962,000 1,500,000 215,00,000 (20,000) (443,000) 1 1980 1,380,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 (443,000) (443,000) 1 1983 1,765,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 (443,000) 1 1988 1,482,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 (12,000)	1	1963	1,588,000	1,500,000	88,000			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	1964	1,540,000	1,500,000	40,000			
2 1966 1,167,000 1,500,000 (33,000) 1 1967 1,285,000 1,500,000 (215,000) 1 1968 1,487,000 1,500,000 (546,000) 2 1970 1,485,000 1,500,000 (566,000) (78,000) 2 1972 2,361,000 1,500,000 536,000 (78,000) 1 1974 1,332,000 1,500,000 544,000 (78,000) 2 1975 1,160,000 1,500,000 35,000 (508,000) 1 1976 1,535,000 1,500,000 35,000 (508,000) 1 1976 1,535,000 1,500,000 200,000 28% 1 1978 2,001,000 1,500,000 217,000 1,500,000 (443,000) 1 1980 1,300,000 1,500,000 205,000 (1,443,000) 1 1983 1,774,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 (1,443,000) 1 1984 1,765,000 1,500,000	1	1965	1,469,000		128,000	1,500,000	(31,000)	
3 1967 1.285,000 1,500,000 (215,000) 1 1968 1.504,000 1,500,000 (33,000) 2 1970 1.435,000 1,500,000 (33,000) 1 1971 2.036,000 1,500,000 (58,000) 2 1972 2.361,000 1,500,000 (54,000) 1 1974 1,332,000 1,500,000 (54,000) 1 1975 1,160,000 1,500,000 (54,000) 1 1976 1,500,000 1,500,000 (50,000) 3 1978 2,001,000 1,500,000 205,000 (20,000) 1 1978 1,705,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 (1047,000) 1 1980 1,300,000 1,500,000 225,000 (1047,000) 1 1988 1,776,000 1,500,000 241,000 1,500,000 1 1984 1,766,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 (1200) 1 1988 1,485,000 1,	2	1966	1,167,000			1,500,000	(333,000)	
1 1968 1.504.000 1.500.000 1.500.000 (1.437.000) 2 1970 1.437.000 1.500.000 1.500.000 (65.000) 1.419.750 1 1971 2.036.000 1.500.000 654.000 (7.8.000) 2 1972 2.2361.000 1.500.000 654.000 (1.80.000) 1 1974 1.332.000 1.500.000 35.000 (508.000) 2 1975 1.160.000 1.500.000 35.000 (508.000) 2 1977 1.962.000 1.500.000 35.000 (508.000) 3 1977 1.977.00 1.500.000 217.000 1.500.000 205.000 4 1979 1.717.000 1.500.000 226.000 (404.000) 440.000 1 1984 1.050.000 1.500.000 241.000 1.697.500 1 1983 1.976.000 1.500.000 1.500.000 (12.000) 1 1986 1.8148.000 1.500.000 1.500.000	3	1967	1,285,000			1,500,000	(215,000)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1	1968	1,504,000	1,500,000	4,000		(548,000)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1	1969	1,487,000			1,500,000	(13,000)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	1970	1,435,000			1,500,000	(65,000)	1,419,750
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1	1971	2,036,000	1,500,000	536,000		(78,000)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	1972	2,361,000	1,500,000	861,000		-19.5	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	3	1973	2,044,000	1,500,000	544,000			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1	1974	1,332,000		1,941,000	1,500,000	(168,000)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	1975	1,160,000			1,500,000	(340,000)	
2 1977 1,962,000 1,500,000 501,000 3 1978 2,001,000 1,500,000 501,000 4 1979 1,717,000 1,500,000 205,000 (200,000) 1,697,500 2 1981 1,096,000 1,500,000 (404,000) (404,000) 3 1982 1,057,000 1,500,000 (200,000) (404,000) 2 1984 1,766,000 1,500,000 266,000 -86% 3 1985 1,741,000 1,500,000 312,000 -86% 4 1986 1,812,000 1,500,000 312,000 -86% 1 1988 1,488,000 1,500,000 (12,000) 1,491,500 1 1988 1,482,000 1,500,000 (297,000) 1,491,500 1 1988 1,482,000 1,500,000 (29,000) 1,491,500 1 1989 1,201,000 1,500,000 (29,000) 1,491,500 1 1989 1,201,000	1	1976	1,535,000	1,500,000	35,000		(508,000)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	1977	1,962,000	1,500,000	462,000		-26%	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3	1978	2,001,000	1,500,000	501,000			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4	1979	1,717,000	1,500,000	217,000			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1	1980	1,300,000		1,215,000	1,500,000	(200,000)	1,697,500
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2	1981	1,096,000			1,500,000	(404,000)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3	1982	1,057,000			1,500,000	(443,000)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1	1983	1,705,000	1,500,000	205,000		(1,047,000)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	1984	1,766,000	1,500,000	266,000		-86%	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	1985	1,741,000	1,500,000	241,000			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4	1986	1,812,000	1,500,000	312,000			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	1987	1,631,000	1,500,000	131,000			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	1988	1,488,000		1,155,000	1,500,000	(12,000)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	1989	1,382,000			1,500,000	(118,000)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	1990	1,203,000			1,500,000	(297,000)	1,491,500
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	1991	1,009,000			1,500,000	(491,000)	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	1992	1,201,000			1,500,000	(299,000)	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	1993	1,232,000			1,500,000	(208,000)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	1995	1,361,000			1,500,000	(139,000)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	1996	1 469 000			1,500,000	(31,000)	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	1997	1,475,000			1.500.000	(25,000)	
1 1	1	1998	1.621.000	1 500 000	121 000	.,,	(1 674 000)	
3 2000 1,573,000 1,500,000 73,000 4 2001 1,601,000 1,500,000 101,000 5 2002 1,710,000 1,500,000 210,000 6 2003 1,854,000 1,500,000 354,000 7 2004 1,950,000 1,500,000 450,000 8 2005 2,073,000 1,500,000 312,000 9 2006 1,812,000 1,500,000 312,000 2008 903,000 2,341,000 1,500,000 (159,000) 2009 553,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 (947,000) 2010 585,000 1,500,000 (3,518,000) (3,518,000) 2011 600,000 1,500,000 (3,518,000) (3,518,000)	2	1999	1.647.000	1,500.000	147.000		-145%	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	2000	1,573,000	1,500,000	73,000			
5 2002 1,710,000 1,500,000 210,000 6 2003 1,854,000 1,500,000 354,000 7 2004 1,950,000 1,500,000 450,000 8 2005 2,073,000 1,500,000 573,000 9 2006 1,812,000 1,500,000 312,000 2007 1,341,000 2,341,000 1,500,000 (159,000) 2009 553,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 (947,000) 2010 585,000 1,500,000 (900,000) (3518,000) 2011 600,000 1,500,000 (3518,000) (3518,000)	4	2001	1,601,000	1,500,000	101,000			
6 2003 1,854,000 1,500,000 354,000 7 2004 1,950,000 1,500,000 450,000 8 2005 2,073,000 1,500,000 573,000 9 2006 1,812,000 1,500,000 312,000 2007 1,341,000 2,341,000 1,500,000 (159,000) 2009 553,000 1,500,000 (947,000) 2010 585,000 1,500,000 (900,000) (30,000) (30,000) (3518,000) (3518,000)	5	2002	1,710,000	1,500,000	210,000			
7 2004 1,950,000 450,000 8 2005 2,073,000 1,500,000 573,000 9 2006 1,812,000 1,500,000 312,000 2007 1,341,000 2,341,000 1,500,000 (159,000) 2009 553,000 1,500,000 (947,000) 2010 585,000 1,500,000 (915,000) 1,500,000 1,500,000 (3518,000)	6	2003	1,854,000	1,500,000	354,000			
8 2005 2,073,000 1,500,000 573,000 9 2006 1,812,000 1,500,000 312,000 2007 1,341,000 2,341,000 1,500,000 (159,000) 2009 553,000 1,500,000 (947,000) 2010 585,000 1,500,000 (915,000) 2011 600,000 (3518,000) (3518,000)	7	2004	1,950,000	1,500,000	450,000			
9 2006 1,812,000 312,000 2007 1,341,000 2,341,000 1,500,000 (159,000) 2008 903,000 2,341,000 1,500,000 (947,000) 2010 585,000 1,500,000 (915,000) 1,466,833 2011 600,000 1,500,000 (3,518,000)	8	2005	2,073,000	1,500,000	573,000			
2007 1,341,000 2,341,000 1,500,000 (159,000) 2008 903,000 1,500,000 (597,000) 2009 553,000 1,500,000 (947,000) 2010 585,000 1,500,000 (915,000) 2011 600,000 1,500,000 (3518,000)	9	2006	1,812,000	1,500,000	312,000			
2008 903,000 1,500,000 (597,000) 2009 553,000 1,500,000 (947,000) 2010 585,000 1,500,000 (915,000) 2011 600,000 1,500,000 (3518,000) (3,518,000)		2007	1,341,000		2,341,000 1,500,000 (159,00			
2009 553,000 1,500,000 (947,000) 2010 585,000 1,500,000 (915,000) 1,466,833 2011 600,000 1,500,000 (900,000) (3,518,000) (3,518,000)		2008	903,000		1,500,000 (597,000			
2010 585,000 1,500,000 (915,000) 1,466,833 2011 600,000 1,500,000 (900,000) (3,518,000) -150%		2009	553,000			1,500,000	(947,000)	
2011 600,000 (900,000) (3,518,000) -150%		2010	585,000			1,500,000	(915,000)	1,466,833
(3,518,000)		2011	600,000			1,500,000	(900,000)	
							(3,518,000)	



Pre-2007 Timber Prices & Returns for intensively managed pine plantations in the Coastal Plains of Southeastern US

www.reynoldsforestry.com Teddy Reynolds; 870-234-0200 ext 6; teddy@reynoldsforestry.com

Return Summary (real):	Net	Gross
Internal Rate of Return (real IRR after all cost before taxes):	11.6%	na
Term of Investment (years in rotation from planting to maturity)	25	25
Gross Revenue Per Acre Per Year	\$ 192	\$ 254
Gross Revenue Per Acre	\$ 4,791	\$ 6,341
Gross Revenue Per Year for Tract	\$ 84,322	\$ 111,595
Gross Revenue Total over Rotation for Tract	\$ 2,108,057	\$ 2,789,875

Investment Summary:	Total	Per Acre		
Direct Reforestation (1st year)	\$ (131,338)	\$	(298	
Release Spray (2 or 3 year; may not be needed 50% of the time)	\$ (26,400)	\$	(60	
Fertilization after 1st Thin (10 - 15 years)	\$ (26,400)	\$	(60	
Fertilization after 2nd Thin (16 - 22 years)	\$ (88,000)	\$	(200	
Cumulative Investment	\$ (263,338)	\$	(598	

Investment Breakdown:		Total	Per Acre	Year(s)	Per Unit
Shear & Offset	\$	(80,288)	\$ (182.47)	0	na
Chemical Site Preparation Application	\$	-	\$ -	0	na
Burn	\$	(7,460)	\$ (17)	0	na
Seedling Cost (including pickup, storage, & delivery)	\$	(29,744)	\$ (68)	1	\$ (0.143
Planting Cost	\$	(13,846)	\$ (31)	1	\$ (0.07
Grass Spray	\$	(17,600)	\$ (40)	1	na
Release Spray	\$	(26,400)	\$ (60)	2	na
Mid Fertilization	\$	(44,000)	\$ (100)	11	na
Late Fertilization	\$	(44,000)	\$ (100)	18	na
Total	\$	(263,338)	\$ (598)		

Tract Information: Planted Total Acres to be planted 440 Tract Contact Date Beginning Investment Year

Seedling Purchase:

Atlantic Coastal 2nd Generation Loblolly (mass control pollinated)	450	208,000
Mortality first year	10%	
Mortality between thinning (after 1st Thinning)	10%	

Thinning Variables:

Years between final harvest and planting	1
First Thinning Year	11
After thinning dbh increase (smaller trees removed; inches)	0.5
Regular Thinning Target Basal Area	70

Annual Tree Growth:

Diameter growth after first thinning (inches)	0.36
Merchantable height growth after first thinning (feet)	1

Administration Overhead:

Management Overhead (timber sale amounts shown reduced by this amount)	15%
--	-----

Detailed Analysis by Year Below:

Years		Treatment		Trees		neter & Height Gr	owth		Han	vest Volume	It Volumes per Acre Revenue and Expense									
Year	Age	Description	Trees	Thinned	Dbh	Height	Logs	Per	Tree	Pe	r Acre	Ton Rate	Harvest	erhead)	(less		Investr	nent	Net	IRR
i dui		Decemption		Trees	inches	Merchantable feet	16 feet	Cubic Feet	Tons	Cubic Feet	Tons	. en ruite	Per Acre		Total	Per A	re	Total	Per	Acre
2010	0	Harvest Year (jan - may)														\$		\$ -	\$	-
2010	0	Site Prep Spray														\$		\$ -	\$	-
2010	0	Shear & Offset Subsoil														\$	(182) \$	\$ (80,288)	\$	(182
2010	0	Burn														\$	(17) 5	\$ (7,460)	\$	(17
2011	1	Seedlings	450													\$	(68)	\$ (29,744)	\$	(61)
2011	1	Planting	450													\$	(31) 5	\$ (13,846)	\$	(28
2011	1	Grass Spray	450													\$	(40) !	\$ (17,600)	\$	(36)
2011	1	Seedling Survival	405	45												Ŧ			T	(
2013	3	Release (50%)	405													\$	(60) (\$ (26.400)	\$	(43)
2021	11	Thinning #1	177	228	85	33	2.06	3.5	0.12	797	2	7 \$ 10	\$ 268	\$	118 111	Ŷ		/ (20,100)	Ś	68
2021	12	Fertilization	177	220	8.9	34	2.00	0.0	0.12	151	2	v 10	φ 200	Ψ	110,111	\$	(100)	\$ (44.000)	\$	(27)
2022	13	Tertilization	177		9.2	35	2.13									Ψ	(100)	<u>» (44,000)</u>	Ψ	(21
2024	14		177		9.6	36	2.25													
2025	15		177		9.9	37	2.31													
2026	16		177		10.3	38	2.38													
2027	17		177		10.7	39	2.44													
2028	18	Thinning #2	96	73	11.5	40	2.50	12.2	0.41	889	30) \$ 30	\$ 899	\$	395,632		1		\$	106
2029	19	Fertilization	96		11.9	41	2.56									\$	(100) \$	\$ (44,000)	\$	(12
2030	20		96		12.2	42	2.63													
2031	21		96		12.6	43	2.69													
2032	22		96		13.0	44	2.75										$ \rightarrow $			
2033	23		96		13.3	45	2.81					l								
2034	24		96		13.7	46	2.88												_	
2035	25	Final Harvest	96	86	14.0	47	2.94	29.0	0.98	2,506	84	\$ 50	\$ 4,222	\$	1,857,652				\$	232
												Total (real)	\$ 5,390	\$	2,371,394	\$	(598)	\$ (263,338)	\$	0
Vote:																		IRR (real)		11.6%

Note:

1) Land treated as a separate vehicle of investment for return (timberland averages 4-5%).

2) Analysis was after all costs, before taxes, and with no appreciation or inflation added (real). 3) Timber sales reduced 15% for management overhead and administrative costs.

4) IRR = Internal Rate of Return; Dbh = Diameter at 4.5 feet above ground; Real = no appreciation or inflation included (today's dollar value).

5) Seedlings cost rounded to 1,000 and 5% added for culls.

6) If the harvest is completed before June 1st a chemical site control spray may be more advantageous than a release which negates the release spray and costs approximately \$80/acre during the summer before planting.



Post-2007 Timber Prices & Returns for intensively managed pine plantations in the Coastal Plains of Southeastern US

www.reynoldsforestry.com Teddy Reynolds; 870-234-0200 ext 6; teddy@reynoldsforestry.com

Return Summary (real):	Net			
Internal Rate of Return (real IRR after all cost before taxes):	8.2%		na	
Term of Investment (years in rotation from planting to maturity)	25		25	
Gross Revenue Per Acre Per Year	\$ 89	\$	133	
Gross Revenue Per Acre	\$ 2,230	\$	3,328	
Gross Revenue Per Year for Tract	\$ 39,257	\$	58,577	
Gross Revenue Total over Rotation for Tract	\$ 981 415	\$	1 464 415	

Investment Summary:	Total	Per Acre
Direct Reforestation (1st year)	\$ (131,338)	\$ (298
Release Spray (2 or 3 year; may not be needed 50% of the time)	\$ (26,400)	\$ (60
Fertilization after 1st Thin (10 - 15 years)	\$ (26,400)	\$ (60
Fertilization after 2nd Thin (16 - 22 years)	\$ (88,000)	\$ (200
Cumulative Investment	\$ (263,338)	\$ (598

Investment Breakdown:		Total		Per Acre	Year(s)	Per Unit	
Shear & Offset	\$	(80,288)	\$	(182.47)	0	na	
Chemical Site Preparation Application	\$	-	\$	-	0	na	
Burn	\$	(7,460)	\$	(17)	0	na	
Seedling Cost (including pickup, storage, & delivery)	\$	(29,744)	\$	(68)	1	\$ (0.143	
Planting Cost	\$	(13,846)	\$	(31)	1	\$ (0.07	
Grass Spray	\$	(17,600)	\$	(40)	1	na	
Release Spray	\$	(26,400)	\$	(60)	2	na	
Mid Fertilization	\$	(44,000)	\$	(100)	11	na	
Late Fertilization	\$	(44,000)	\$	(100)	18	na	
Total	\$	(263,338)	\$	(598)			

 Tract Information:
 Planted
 Total

 Acres to be planted
 440
 627

 Tract
 Taylor 440 (pine sale)
 Contact

 Contact
 Nancy, Sally & Dennis

 Date
 12/07/10
 Beginning Investment Year
 2010

Seedling Purchase:

Atlantic Coastal 2nd Generation Loblolly (mass control pollinated)	450	208,000
Mortality first year	10%	
Mortality between thinning (after 1st Thinning)	10%	

Thinning Variables:

Years between final harvest and planting	1
First Thinning Year	11
After thinning dbh increase (smaller trees removed; inches)	0.5
Regular Thinning Target Basal Area	70

Annual Tree Growth:

Diameter growth after first thinning (inches)	0.36
Merchantable height growth after first thinning (feet)	1

Administration Overhead:

Management Overhead (timber sale amounts shown reduced by this amount)	15%

Detailed Analysis by Year Below:

Years		Treatment		Trees		neter & Height Gro	wth		Har	vest Volum	es per Acre			Revenue and Expense			
Year	Age	Description	Trees	Thinned	Dbh	Height	Logs	Per	Tree	Pe	r Acre	Ton Rate	Harvest	(less rhead)	Inves	tment	Net IRR
		Description		Trees	inches	Merchantable feet	16 feet	Cubic Feet	Tons	Cubic Feet	Tons	Ton nuto	Per Acre	Total	Per Acre	Total	Per Acre
2010	0	Harvest Year (jan - may)													\$-	\$-	\$-
2010	0	Site Prep Spray													\$-	\$-	\$-
2010	0	Shear & Offset Subsoil													\$ (182)	\$ (80,288)) \$ (182
2010	0	Burn													\$ (17)	\$ (7,460) \$ (17
2011	1	Seedlings	450									1			\$ (68)	\$ (29,744) \$ (62
2011	1	Planting	450												\$ (31)	\$ (13,846) \$ (29
2011	1	Grass Spray	450									1			\$ (40)	\$ (17,600) \$ (37
2011	1	Seedling Survival	405	45								1					
2013	3	Release (50%)	405												\$ (60)	\$ (26,400) \$ (47
2021	11	Thinning #1	177	228	8.5	33	2.06	3.5	0.12	2 797	27	7 \$ 10	\$ 268	\$ 118.111			\$ 96
2022	12	Fertilization	177		8.9	34	2.13								\$ (100)	\$ (44.000) \$ (39
2023	13		177		9.2	35	2.19										
2024	14		177		9.6	36	2.25										
2025	15		177		9.9	37	2.31										
2026	16		177		10.3	38	2.38										
2027	17		177		10.7	39	2.44										
2028	18	Thinning #2	96	73	11.5	40	2.50	12.2	0.41	889	30	\$ 15	\$ 450	\$ 197,816			\$ 92
2029	19	Fertilization	96		11.9	41	2.56								\$ (100)	\$ (44,000)) \$ (22
2030	20		96		12.2	42	2.63										
2031	21		96		12.6	43	2.69										
2032	22		96		13.0	44	2.75										
2033	23		96		13.3	45	2.81										
2034	24		96		13.7	46	2.88										
2035	25	Final Harvest	96	86	14.0	47	2.94	29.0	0.98	2,506	84	1 \$ 25	\$ 2,111	\$ 928,826			\$ 249
												Total (real	\$ 2.829	\$ 1,244,752	\$ (598)	\$ (263.338) \$ C

Note:

1) Land treated as a separate vehicle of investment for return (timberland averages 4-5%).

2) Analysis was after all costs, before taxes, and with no appreciation or inflation added (real).

3) Timber sales reduced 15% for management overhead and administrative costs.

4) IRR = Internal Rate of Return; Dbh = Diameter at 4.5 feet above ground; Real = no appreciation or inflation included (today's dollar value).

5) Seedlings cost rounded to 1,000 and 5% added for culls.

6) If the harvest is completed before June 1st a chemical site control spray may be more advantageous than a release which negates the release spray and costs approximately \$80/acre during the summer before planting.

8.2%

IRR (real)



Post-Recovery-2016 Timber Prices & Returns for intensively managed pine plantations in the Coastal Plains of Southeastern US

www.reynoldsforestry.com

Return Summary (real):	Net		Gross	
Internal Rate of Return (real IRR after all cost before taxes):	10.5%	na		
Term of Investment (years in rotation from planting to maturity)	25	25		
Gross Revenue Per Acre Per Year	\$ 152	\$	207	
Gross Revenue Per Acre	\$ 3,797	\$	5,171	
Gross Revenue Per Year for Tract	\$ 66,824	\$	91,008	
Gross Revenue Total over Rotation for Tract	\$ 1,670,588	\$	2,275,206	

Investment Summary:	Total	Per Acre
Direct Reforestation (1st year)	\$ (131,338)	\$ (298
Release Spray (2 or 3 year; may not be needed 50% of the time)	\$ (26,400)	\$ (60
Fertilization after 1st Thin (10 - 15 years)	\$ (26,400)	\$ (60
Fertilization after 2nd Thin (16 - 22 years)	\$ (88,000)	\$ (200
Cumulative Investment	\$ (263,338)	\$ (598

Investment Breakdown:	Total	Per Acr	е	Year(s)	Per Unit
Shear & Offset	\$ (80,288)	\$ (18	82.47)	0	na
Chemical Site Preparation Application	\$ -	\$	•	0	na
Burn	\$ (7,460)	\$	(17)	0	na
Seedling Cost (including pickup, storage, & delivery)	\$ (29,744)	\$	(68)	1	\$ (0.143
Planting Cost	\$ (13,846)	\$	(31)	1	\$ (0.0
Grass Spray	\$ (17,600)	\$	(40)	1	na
Release Spray	\$ (26,400)	\$	(60)	2	na
Mid Fertilization	\$ (44,000)	\$	(100)	11	na
Late Fertilization	\$ (44,000)	\$	(100)	18	na
Total	\$ (263,338)	\$	(598)		

Tract Information: Planted Total Acres to be planted 440 627 Tract Taylor 440 (pine sale) 627 Contact Nancy, Sally & Dennis 627 Date 12/07/10 Beginning Investment Year 2010

Seedling Purchase:

Atlantic Coastal 2nd Generation Loblolly (mass control pollinated)	450	208,000
Mortality first year	10%	
Mortality between thinning (after 1st Thinning)	10%	

Thinning Variables:

Years between final harvest and planting	1
First Thinning Year	11
After thinning dbh increase (smaller trees removed; inches)	0.5
Regular Thinning Target Basal Area	70

Annual Tree Growth:

Diameter growth after first thinning (inches)	0.36
Merchantable height growth after first thinning (feet)	1

Administration Overhead:

Management Overhead (timber sale amounts shown reduced by this amount)	
--	--

Detailed Analysis by Year Below:

Years		Treatment		Trees	Diameter & Height Growth			Harvest Volumes per Acre						Revenue and Expense					
Year		Age	Description	Troos	Thinned	Dbh inches	Height Merchantable feet	Logs t 16 feet	Per Tree		Per Acre		Ton Pate	Harvest (le		Investment		Net IRR	
			Description	11663	Trees				Cubic Feet	Tons	Cubic Feet	Tons	Ton Rate	Per Acre	Total	Per Acre	Total	Per Acre	
2010		0	Harvest Year (jan - may)													\$-	\$ -	\$.	-
2010		0	Site Prep Spray													\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
2010		0	Shear & Offset Subsoil													\$ (182) \$ (80,288) \$ (1	182
2010		0	Burn													\$ (17) \$ (7,460) \$	(17
2011		1	Seedlings	450												\$ (68) \$ (29,744) \$ /	(61
2011		1	Planting	450												\$ (31) \$ (13.846) \$	(28
2011		1	Grass Spray	450												\$ (40	\$ (17,600	0 \$	(36
2011		1	Seedling Survival	405	45												/ • (,•••)	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
2013		3	Release (50%)	405								1		1		\$ (60	\$ (26.400	2 6	(44
2010		11	Thinning #1	177	228	8.5	33	2.06	35	0.12	707	27	7 \$ 10	\$ 268	\$ 118 111	ψ (00	/ @ (20,400	s s	76
2021		12	Fertilization	177	220	8.9	34	2.00	0.0	0.12	. 131	21	v .v	φ 200	φ 110,111	\$ (100	\$ (44,000		(30
2022		13	1 Cruizzaion	177		9.2	35	2.10								φ (100	/	/ • · · ·	,00
2024		14		177		9.6	36	2.25											-
2025		15		177		9.9	37	2.31											
2026		16		177		10.3	38	2.38											
2027		17		177		10.7	39	2.44											_
2028		18	Thinning #2	96	73	11.5	40	2.50	12.2	0.41	889	30) \$ 25	\$ 749	\$ 329,693			\$ 1	05
2029		19	Fertilization	96		11.9	41	2.56								\$ (100) \$ (44,000))\$ ((15
2030		20		96		12.2	42	2.63											
2031		21		96		12.6	43	2.69											
2032		22		96		13.0	44	2.75											
2033		23		96		13.3	45	2.81					l				<u> </u>		
2034		24		96		13.7	46	2.88		0.00	0.500	-		A 0.070					
2035		25	Final Harvest	96	86	14.0	47	2.94	29.0	0.98	2,506	84	¥ \$ 40	\$ 3,378	\$ 1,486,121			\$ 2	:34

Note:

1) Land treated as a separate vehicle of investment for return (timberland averages 4-5%).

2) Analysis was after all costs, before taxes, and with no appreciation or inflation added (real).

3) Timber sales reduced 15% for management overhead and administrative costs.

4) IRR = Internal Rate of Return; Dbh = Diameter at 4.5 feet above ground; Real = no appreciation or inflation included (today's dollar value).

5) Seedlings cost rounded to 1,000 and 5% added for culls.

6) If the harvest is completed before June 1st a chemical site control spray may be more advantageous than a release which negates the release spray and costs approximately \$80/acre during the summer before planting.

10.5%

IRR (real)